



VOLUME 67, Issue 2

www.westvalleyrwf.org

February 2019

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President

Mayme Abbott
408-241-4513

1st VP/Programs
Jeanne LaKamp
650-212-1510

2nd VP/Membership
JoAnn Destro
408-605-9608

3rd VP/Ways & Means
Lorene Milligan
408-265-3872

Recording Secretary
Jan Davis
408-446-4410

Treasurer
Mary Goodman
408-806-4671

Corresponding Secretary
Kris Saba
408-266-8430

Director-at-Large
Kathie Topham
408-257-6261

Newsletter Editor
Bunny Hacker
408-483-2311

Reservations Hostess
Grace Russo
408-499-1695

OUR NEXT MEETING:

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2019

11:30 a.m.

at

Holder's Country Inn
998 So. De Anza Blvd.
San Jose, CA 95129

FEATURING GUEST SPEAKER:



DEBORAH LA FETRA!
Senior Attorney
Pacific Legal Foundation

Cost: \$28.00 (includes lunch)

All meals are accompanied by a soft beverage; salads include a starter soup; sandwiches & hot meals include a starter salad. All taxes & gratuities are also included!

MENU CHOICES

Apple Harvest Salad

*Chicken breast, apples, walnuts, dried cranberries, avocado, tomato, cucumber, bleu cheese crumble & apple cider dressing
or*

French Dip Sandwich

*Roast Beef on Sourdough roll with Au Jus, served with Fries
or*

Chicken Parmesan

Chicken breast, marinara, parmesan cheese, served with Pasta

RSVP must be received by Monday, Feb. 18th!
Call Grace at 408-499-1695

*Checks payable to "West Valley RWF" may be mailed to:
Grace Russo, 2393 Karen Dr. #3 - Santa Clara, CA 95050*

Lunches are pre-ordered; a reservation made is a reservation paid!

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Achievement Awards
Bunny Hacker

Americanism
Betty Faultner

Caring for America
Mary Frazier

County GOP Liaison
Kay Young

Chaplain
June Fromm

Historian
Christine Austin-Walker

Legislation
Sue Moley

Parliamentarian
Julie Eckroat & Sue Moley

Phone Committee
Betty Kaye Miller

Social Media/Internet
Bunny Hacker

Voter Registration
Shirley Keller



PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Welcome to 2019! It is unbelievable as I watch all that is going on in our country, unbelievably rich, due to our American constitution's guarantee of life, liberty, freedom of speech, freedom of the press(!) innocent until proven guilty (listing only some) and belief in the American Dream. How much is now being eroded, especially by the obviously overwhelming bias in the press? The news I heard as I started writing this letter was about the Covington incident. As a practicing and active Catholic, I am appalled at the positions and remarks some Catholic priests are making. Hatred for Trump has completely clouded their ability to intelligently look at issues, facts, and reality. My Republican Catholic friends and I have long marveled at how good Catholics can vote for abortion candidates. I know nuns that took part in the first women's march to support women's rights even though pro-abortion activists were the chief promoters. It's as if common sense has no place in many members of the Catholic clergy and laity in their thought processes.. I worry and pray for my Church and all Christian Churches every bit as much as for my country.

Those of you who were unable to attend our January meeting missed a very interesting and informative talk from Tom Del Beccaro. Tom gave many interesting insights into how he believes our nation is changing. He maintains we are not the same country we were 70 or even 50 years ago due to the fact that now 50% of us are part of the private sector and are directly impacted by the economy and therefore care about the economy and 50 % are either employed by the government or supported by the government. Half of us are financially secure no matter how the economy fares so have the luxury to fully support any and all of their choice social issues. Therefore, in order to hold and reclaim Republican legislators, we need to reevaluate our focus. He has a book expounding his precepts, "The Divided Era". After hearing from members who were at the lunch and overwhelmingly enjoyed his talk, we can certainly get more copies for you if you are interested.

I look forward to seeing you at our next meeting February 21. I lovingly wish all of you good health, good fellowship, peace and prosperity throughout the year.

- Mayme Abbott

MEET OUR SPEAKER

DEBORAH LA FETRA
Senior Attorney
Pacific Legal Foundation



Debbie grew up in an economically-striving lower-middle class family and was the first to get a college degree. She pushed herself in school and started working as soon as she was able – she's been paying taxes since the age of 14. She graduated Claremont McKenna College in 1987 with honors and a double-major of political science and history, with a minor in literature. She graduated from the Law Center at the University of Southern California and continued working through all her years of higher education.

An advocate for conservative principles, Debbie couldn't believe her good fortune in landing a clerkship with PLF in 1989 and coming aboard as an attorney in 1990. In her more than 25 years with the Foundation, Debbie has litigated in virtually all of its subject areas, with special emphasis on First Amendment litigation and matters that affect free enterprise and economic liberty. An expert in these areas, she has published half a dozen law review articles, including *Kick It Up a Notch: First Amendment Protection for Commercial Speech*, 54 Case Western Res. L. Rev. 1205 (2004), and *Freedom, Responsibility and Risk: Fundamental Premises of Tort Reform*, 36 Ind. L. Rev. 645 (2003). She is licensed to practice in California, Arizona, various federal district and circuit courts, and the United States Supreme Court.

Debbie is PLF's original telecommuter, having started working from her Sunnyvale, California, home in 1993. Since then, she has furthered the cause of liberty and constitutional government while, alongside her husband, raising and homeschooling two children (the eldest now off to college).

RESERVE EARLY – AND BRING A FRIEND!

One of the penalties for refusing to participate in politics is that you end up being governed by your inferiors. -Plato

CALIFORNIA'S NEXT BAN: PAPER RECEIPTS

by WSJ Editorial Board, 1-11-19

"Helping hometown companies in the name of green virtue."

First they came for the plastic bags, then plastic straws. Now California progressives want to ban paper receipts. Like so many other liberal crusades, the fight against paper receipts is cronyism masquerading as green virtue.

California's good liberals see a green villain behind every corner. If something doesn't kill sea turtles then it will likely give you cancer. The state banned single-use plastic bags in 2016, which was followed last year by a prohibition on plastic straws in restaurants. Warnings are required on products that regulators deem even remotely carcinogenic, from potato chips to aspirin.

The latest scourge is paper receipts. According to state Assemblyman Phil Ting of San Francisco, receipts generate 686 million pounds of waste and 12 billion pounds of carbon dioxide each year, the equivalent of one million cars on the road. They may also contain the chemical BPA, which could cause birth defects if ingested in high doses. Is eating receipts a new diet fad in California?

Mr. Ting this week proposed legislation requiring businesses to offer e-receipts as the default option or pay a fine of \$25 per day. Many merchants are upgrading to mobile payment processing and checkout systems because millennials spurn cash and all things paper. But this bill would mandate that all business adopt such new hardware and software systems.

As it happens, the biggest beneficiary would likely be Square Inc., whose headquarters is in San Francisco and is run by CEO Jack Dorsey of Twitter fame. Mr. Ting's bill notes that, "Data from Square, a company that provides mobile payment services, shows that their sellers send over 10 million digital receipts each month." PayPal (San Jose), Stripe (San Francisco) and Wells Fargo (San Francisco) also offer online registers. Funny how political favoritism appears behind most green legislation. ♦

For another take on the subject, read

"California's Crusade Against Receipts Is Pointless"

at <https://www.bloomberg.com/opinion/article>

VISION'S OF A 70% TAX HIKE

by WSJ Editorial Board, 1-15-19

"The new socialists need a refresher course in government math."

By now readers have heard that progressive luminary Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (AOC for groupies) supports a 70% top marginal tax rate, which she says will help finance a "green new deal". Higher taxes on the rich is the stock socialist answer on how to pay for any project, though a reminder arrived this week that soaking the wealthy will barely register as a down payment.

The Tax Foundation on Monday did Ms. Ocasio-Cortez the favor of taking her proposal seriously and asked: How much money would the government reap from a 70% tax rate on income above \$10 million? Authors Kyle Pomeleau and Huaqun Li looked at two scenarios – one if the rate applied only to ordinary income like wages and interest, and another if it also applied to income from capital gains.

The best case scenario: a 70% rate would raise less than \$300 billion in revenue over 10 years, which is less than half of the \$700 billion that has been cited in press reports. Progressives aren't eager to put a price tag on the green new deal, which includes modest proposals like a universal jobs guarantee. But you can bet that ridding the economy of carbon will cost into the trillions of dollars.

A 70% top rate would generate even less revenue if extended to capital gains. Investors only pay when they realize gains by selling assets, and they are especially sensitive to tax rates when deciding whether to sell. High rates can leave money locked into a current asset instead of flowing to the next good idea.

When the Tax Foundation authors considered the effect on behavior and incentives – why bother with that extra investment if most of the money will go to government? – they found that a 70% top rate on all income would *lose* the government \$63.5 billion over 10 years.

Ms. Ocasio-Cortez won't admit it, but she and her socialist friends will eventually have to go where the real money is: The middle class. That means higher tax rates on even modest wage earners; taxes on retirement savings like 401(k)s or college savings accounts.

Remember this the next time a Democrat or columnist who claims to be conservative says he'll finance a program by hitting the 1% of earners who already pay more than a third of America's income taxes. Sooner or later they're coming after you. ♦

WHAT HAPPENED AT THE LINCOLN MEMORIAL

by Nick Sandmann - January 25, 2019

[This is the public statement issued to the press of Nick Sandmann, speaking for himself and his family]

I am providing this factual account of what happened on Friday afternoon (January 18) at the Lincoln Memorial to correct misinformation and outright lies being spread about my family and me.

I am the student in the video who was confronted by the Native American protestor. I arrived at the Lincoln Memorial at 4:30 p.m. I was told to be there by 5:30 p.m., when our busses were due to leave Washington for the trip back to Kentucky. We had been attending the March for Life rally, and then had split up into small groups to do sightseeing.

When we arrived, we noticed four African American protestors who were also on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial. I am not sure what they were protesting, and I did not interact with them. I did hear them direct derogatory insults at our school group.

The protestors said hateful things. They called us “racists,” “bigots,” “white crackers,” “faggots,” and “incest kids.” They also taunted an African American student from my school by telling him that we would “harvest his organs.” I have no idea what that insult means, but it was startling to hear.

Because we were being loudly attacked and taunted in public, a student in our group asked one of our teacher chaperones for permission to begin our school spirit chants to counter the hateful things that were being shouted at our group. The chants are commonly used at sporting events. They are all positive in nature and sound like what you would hear at any high school.

Our chaperone gave us permission to use our school chants. We would not have done that without obtaining permission from the adults in charge of our group.

At no time did I hear any student chant anything other than the school spirit chants. I did not witness or hear any students chant “build that wall” or anything hateful or racist at any time. Assertions to the contrary are simply false. Our chants were loud because we wanted to drown out the hateful comments that were being shouted at us by the protestors.

After a few minutes of chanting, the Native American protestors, who I hadn’t previously noticed, approached our group. The Native American protestors had drums and were accompanied by at least one person with a camera.

The protestor everyone has seen in the video began playing his drum as he waded into the crowd, which parted for him. I did not see anyone try to block his path. He locked eyes with me and approached me, coming within inches of my face. He played his drum the entire time he was in my face.

I never interacted with this protestor. I did not speak to him. I did not make any hand gestures or other aggressive moves. To be honest, I was startled and confused as to why he had approached me. We had already been yelled at by another group of protestors, and when the second group approached I was worried that a situation was getting out of control where adults were attempting to provoke teenagers.

I believed that by remaining motionless and calm, I was helping to diffuse the situation. I realized everyone had cameras and that perhaps a group of adults was trying to provoke a group of teenagers into a larger conflict. I said a silent prayer that the situation would not get out of hand.

During the period of the drumming, a member of the protestor’s entourage began yelling at a fellow student that we “stole our land” and that we should “go back to Europe.” I heard one of my fellow students begin to respond. I motioned to my classmate and tried to get him to stop engaging with the protestor, as I was still in the mindset that we needed to calm down tensions.

I never felt like I was blocking the Native American protestor. He did not make any attempt to go around me. It was clear to me that he had singled me out for a confrontation, although I am not sure why.

Article continues on next page.

Article continues from previous page.

The engagement ended when one of our teachers told me the busses had arrived and it was time to go. I obeyed my teacher and simply walked to the busses. At that moment, I thought I had diffused the situation by remaining calm, and I was thankful nothing physical had occurred.

I never understood why either of the two groups of protestors were engaging with us, or exactly what they were protesting at the Lincoln Memorial. We were simply there to meet a bus, not become central players in a media spectacle. This is the first time in my life I've ever encountered any sort of public protest, let alone this kind of confrontation or demonstration.

I was not intentionally making faces at the protestor. I did smile at one point because I wanted him to know that I was not going to become angry, intimidated or be provoked into a larger confrontation. I am a faithful Christian and practicing Catholic, and I always try to live up to the ideals my faith teaches me — to remain respectful of others, and to take no action that would lead to conflict or violence.

I harbor no ill will for this person. I respect this person's right to protest and engage in free speech activities, and I support his chanting on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial any day of the week. I believe he should rethink his tactics of invading the personal space of others, but that is his choice to make.

I am being called every name in the book, including a racist, and I will not stand for this mob-like character assassination of my family's name. My parents were not on the trip, and I strive to represent my family in a respectful way in all public settings.

I have received physical and death threats via social media, as well as hateful insults. One person threatened to harm me at school, and one person claims to live in my neighborhood. My parents are receiving death and professional threats because of the social media mob that has formed over this issue.

I love my school, my teachers and my classmates. I work hard to achieve good grades and to participate in several extracurricular activities. I am mortified that so many people have come to believe something that did not happen — that students from my school were chanting or acting in a racist fashion toward African Americans or Native Americans. I did not do that, do not have hateful feelings in my heart, and did not witness any of my classmates doing that.

I cannot speak for everyone, only for myself. But I can tell you my experience with Covington Catholic is that students are respectful of all races and cultures. We also support everyone's right to free speech.

I am not going to comment on the words or account of Mr. Phillips, as I don't know him and would not presume to know what is in his heart or mind. Nor am I going to comment further on the other protestors, as I don't know their hearts or minds, either.

I have read that Mr. Phillips is a veteran of the United States Marines. I thank him for his service and am grateful to anyone who puts on the uniform to defend our nation. If anyone has earned the right to speak freely, it is a U.S. Marine veteran.

I can only speak for myself and what I observed and felt at the time. But I would caution everyone passing judgment based on a few seconds of video to watch the longer video clips that are on the internet, as they show a much different story than is being portrayed by people with agendas.

I provided this account of events to the Diocese of Covington so they may know exactly what happened, and I stand ready and willing to cooperate with any investigation they are conducting.

Nick Sandmann
Junior, Covington Catholic High School
Park Hills, KY

Source: <https://www.tothepointnews.com/2019/01/what-happened-at-the-lincoln-memorial/>

Shaming Women Who Vote Right

by Carrie Lukas - November 14, 2018 – NationalReview.com

The Left cannot comprehend why women might think differently from them.



Supporters of Republican gubernatorial candidate Brian Kemp at an election-night party in Athens, Ga., November 6, 2018. (Photo credit: Chris Aluka Berry/Reuters)

Some progressives have decided that rather than convincing women that their candidates and policy proposals are better than those of conservatives, they will shame women who fail to vote for the Left by defining them all as racist and self-loathing tools of the patriarchy.

Think I'm exaggerating? See this from [the Guardian's op-ed](#) entitled "Half of White Women Continue to Vote Republican. What's Wrong with Them?":

What is wrong with white women? Why do half of them so consistently vote for Republicans, even as the Republican party morphs into a monstrously ugly organization that is increasingly indistinguishable from a hate group? The most likely answer seems to be that white women vote for Republicans for the same reason that white men do: because they are racist.

This article echoed [Vogue's post-midterm election lament](#), "Why Do White Women Keep Voting for the GOP and Against Their Own Interests?":

Are they so invested in their own white privilege that they simply don't care about other women? Are they parroting their Republican husbands and/or brainwashed by Fox & Friends? Maybe and maybe.

Brainwashed. Unsisterly. Traitors. Racist.

These are the labels being pushed on Republican women not just by leftist party activists, but by supposedly nonpartisan entertainment outlets. People don't turn to Vogue for political commentary, just as they don't turn on the latest television crime drama for a lecture about gun control. But that's what's served up. This subtle societal backdrop, caricaturing conservative women not just as wrong, but as inherently vile and cruel, encourages Democrats to feel just in shaming, silencing, and marginalizing them. So much for the Left's mantra of "Make YOUR voice heard."

Article continues on next page.

Article continued from previous page.

Waiting for back-to-school night to begin, while exchanging names and pleasantries with parents of my daughters' new classmates, I would never have brought up politics, and I carefully neutered descriptions of my employment to avoid revealing any ideological leanings. Yet the woman next to me felt no similar limitations and quickly offered a profanity-laced opinion of the president. A few laughed agreeably, offering their own digs not just about Trump, but about conservatives more broadly. I simply disengaged.

I suspect many right-of center women have had similar experiences. This is a problem, not just because it silences people, but because increasingly women on the left seem to have no actual contact with women outside of their own ideological bubbles. They can't fathom why, other than racism and sexism, some women reach different conclusions about politics and policy issues.

In this, conservative women have an advantage, since we have been steeped in a culture that showcases the world from the perspective of the Left. I know why my progressive friends vote Left. I know that they hope that greater redistribution and more government oversight of everything from guns to education and health care will lead to fairer outcomes. If you attended a public school, chances are your teachers were liberal and shared their political opinions frequently. If you went to college, it's a near certainty that your professors were almost uniformly liberal and exposed you to the liberal worldview. If you open a women's magazine or watch essentially any TV drama, the liberal worldview will dominate.

The conservative worldview is harder to find unless you specifically hunt for it in explicitly political vehicles or religious-themed programming. This has allowed much of the Left to assume that everyone who votes Republican supports the most extreme fringe perspective offered by anyone on the right or fits the cartoonish stereotypes of conservatives presented on liberal programming.

Progressives need to have more opportunities to walk in conservatives' shoes. Just as conservatives give liberal women the benefit of the doubt that they are against the violent, racist, misogynistic elements of their party, progressives should consider that women who vote Republican may actually believe that their policy platform is the best path forward for the country. Republican women may have concluded that unnecessary and ineffective government regulations and programs often backfire on the very people they are meant to help. They really believe that lower taxes and a small government lead to better jobs, prosperity, and opportunities for all Americans. They want parents to have more control over where their children go to school and what kind of health insurance they buy. Furthermore, they may object to what seems the Left's dehumanizing hostility to straight white men, Christians, and other women who hold contrary opinions. We think that animus toward these groups is as wrong as it would be to any other group, and we want all people treated respectfully, as individuals.

It's become a cliché to say that we need more civility in politics. That doesn't mean it isn't true. Certainly, there is plenty of blame to go around for how we have gotten to where we are. But the Left should consider how the shaming and demeaning of women who don't agree with them is making our country worse, and exhibiting the same prejudice that they purport to abhor. ♦



Carrie Lukas is the president of the Independent Women's Forum.



Capitol Update

California Federation of Republican Women

Nam-Yong Horn, President

Allison Olson, CFRW Advocate

The Legislative Agenda

Gavin Newsom laid out some of his priorities in his inaugural address, and now the legislature has begun rolling out bills.. With a super majority in both houses, the Legislative Democrats and Governor Newsom have unbridled power. What's at the top of their list? Universal healthcare. Affordable housing. Universal Pre-Kindergarten. Free Community College. Social programs on social programs on social programs. And the very liberal legislative Democrats are chomping at the bit. Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon (D, Paramount) said, "You're going to see a shift in power. The legislature is going to have more power than ever before." We will need our CFRW members educated and informed about what is happening in Sacramento. Government programs cost money, taxpayer money.

To keep the electorate engaged and informed will take work and our members must be engaged as well!

Bail Reform on the Ballot

As you may recall last year, Senator Bob Hertzberg (D-Van Nuys) successfully abolished cash bail, effectively outlawing the work of 3,000 bail bondsmen in our state. Not to mention abolishing any incentive for bad guys to appear in court. Instead, SB 10 created "risk-assessment based" bail program that calls for a pretrial to determine low, medium, or high risk. Luckily, a ballot measure is headed for the 2020 to repeal the brand new law. In 90 days 575,000 signatures were collected to repeal cashless bail when only 365,000 were needed. Because the referendum qualified, SB 10 will not go into effect until the voters decide in 2020. Once again, we will need to work in educating voters to defeat this terrible bill.

Bill Deadlines Draw Near

As the 2019-2020 legislative session begins, bill deadlines are already quickly approaching. Friday, January 25th, was the deadline for bill requests to be submitted to the Legislative Counsel. This does not mean all bills are written up and solidified by that date. This only puts the Legislative Counsel on alert for potential bills that may be coming their way. Between now and Friday, February 22nd, is when all bills must be introduced into their house of origin. Again, this does not mean that all bills introduced by then are complete. Many of these introduced bills will be "spot bills", or place-holders for the actual language of the law to be filled in at a later date. It is just one way our legislators circumvent our state's constitution and required deadlines to get the bills they want and when they want passed. To date, approximately 400 bills have been introduced in both the Senate and the Assembly. Over the next month, expect more than 2,000 more bills to be introduced in the Senate and the Assembly combined. Healthcare and housing will be the top priorities for legislative Democrats. **Keep up with the Capitol Update to remain educated and informed!**

Motor Voter Facts

Thanks to the SacBee and Political Data, we now know that approximately 186,000 newly registered voters through the DMV's Motor Voter cast ballots in the last election. Of those 186,000, approximately half registered as No Party Preference, 35% registered Democrat, and only 15% registered as Republicans. We certainly have our work cut out for us. Data also shows that only 42% of those registered through the DMV actually turn out to vote, while voters who register by traditional means seem more motivated, with 60% of those newly registered voting. The Motor Voter shouldn't be our only focus. Now with "Same Day Voter Registration" and 2020 being an all "Vote By Mail" election for our state, we Republicans have our work cut out for us. Targeted messaging, new media, positive visibility, "return the vote" efforts, and Election Day ground strategy are all going to be very important as we look toward 2020.

CFRW Winter Conference

Join us in Rancho Cordova, March 8-10th, for our Power of the Past-Force of the Future Winter Conference. We will have an education-filled Friday with workshops on membership, community outreach, strategy for the 2020 election, and more. Saturday we have the privilege to hear from our National Federation of Republican Women President, Jody Rushton, as well as Dr. Barbara Stone on "Winning Elections." Our newly elected California Republican Party Chair and Vice Chair will be invited as well. You won't want to miss this event! [**CLICK HERE**](#) for our conference packet!



"It's The Democrats Who Need To Hear From You"

**NFRW PRESIDENT URGES MEMBERS
TO CALL LEGISLATORS**



National Federation of Republican Women President **Jody Rushton** is calling on Republican Women across the country to make their voices heard on Capitol Hill. **"Republican Women should call their elected officials, regardless of party, in support of the Southern border wall,"** Rushton urged.

Speaking at the Southern Republican Leadership Conference (SRLC) in New Orleans, Louisiana, on Saturday, Rushton issued a call to action that reaches across party lines. "It's the Democrats who need to hear from you. They need to hear your voice and know that you are watching them and want them to vote in support of safety and security for our borders and our families," Rushton urged.

**BE PART OF THE SOLUTION
ANSWER PRESIDENT RUSHTON'S CALL TO ACTION TODAY**

CALL 202-224-3121 and Ask for Your Congressman and Senators

For NFRW Talking Points on Border Security, click link: <https://MAGA-Action-Alert>

Tell your legislators you want the wall approved now.
Messages to Democrats are especially important.

Please call or write your Senators and Congressman now and urge them to stop the political games and come together with the President to achieve the kinds of reforms that will build the wall, end the shutdown, and stop the humanitarian crisis at our border.

How to Reach Your Legislators

- Call the Switchboard at 202-224-3121 and ask to be connected to your Member's office.
 - Click on the links for a directory of **Senators** and **Representatives**...
or find them listed on page 11 of this newsletter!

National Federation of Republican Women
President: Jody Rushton

WEST VALLEY
REPUBLICAN WOMEN FEDERATED
Membership Information

DUES!

West Valley RWF Bylaws, ARTICLE IV, Sec. 2:
“Members are delinquent if dues are not paid in January, and membership
may be rescinded if not paid by March 31 of any calendar year.”

Please renew today!

3 Memberships in One! Members of ***West Valley RWF*** are also Members of the
California Federation of Republican Women and the ***National Federation of Republican Women*** –
the largest all-women political volunteer organization in the country!

Membership levels...

- **Regular Membership:** \$40.00/year
- **Sustaining Membership:** \$50.00/year (for members wishing to contribute additional financial support)
- **Associate membership:** \$15/year (*for women who are already registered members of another Federated club or for Republican Gentlemen)
- **Student membership: No Charge!** (for High School students with parental permission)
- **College Republican Women:** Freshman thru Senior year – only \$18.00/year! Members, gift your college daughters & granddaughters with membership in West Valley Republican Women Federated!

Please fill in the following information, and enclose with your check made **payable to “West Valley RWF”**.

Mail to: **JoAnn Destro – 1521 San Andreas Ave. – San Jose CA 95118**



Print Name: _____

 Renewal **New Member** **Sustaining** **Associate** **College** **Donation**
\$40 \$40 \$50 \$15 \$18 as you wish

Please give us your current contact information:

Address: _____ City: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____ E-mail: _____ Birth Month/Day: _____
[We do not use your e-mail for anything other than important Federation communications!]

(***Associate women**, please note the name of the Federated club to which you are paying your regular membership dues: _____).

ELECTED OFFICIALS

- **President Donald J. Trump**
[http://www.gov.ca.gov](http://www.whitehouse.gov>Contact
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20500
202-456-1414• Vice President Mike Pence
Old Executive Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20501-0001
Email: vicepresident@whitehouse.gov• Governor Gavin Newsom
State Capitol Building
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-445-2841
<a href=)
- **U.S. Senator Diane Feinstein**
Phone: (415) 393-0707
Fax: (415) 393-0710
Email: senator@feinstein.senate.gov
- **U.S. Senator Kamala Harris**
Phone (213) 894-5000
Fax (202) 224-0454
Email: harris.senate.gov
- **CA State Senate**
<http://senate.ca.gov/senators>
SD-10 Bob Wieckowski (D) 510) 794-3900
SD-13 Jerry Hill (D) 650) 212-3313
SD-15 Jim Beall (D) 408-558-1295
- **CA Congressional Representatives**
<http://www.house.gov/representatives>
CD-17 Ro Khanna (D) 408-436-2720
CD-18 Anna Eshoo (D) 650-323-2984
CD-19 Zoe Lofgren (D) 408-271-8700
- **CA Assembly**
<http://assembly.ca.gov/assemblymembers>
AD-24 Marc Berman (D) 650-691-2121
AD-25 Kansen Chu (D) 408-262-2501
AD-27 Ash Kalra (D) 408-277-1220
AD-28 Evan Low (D) 408-446-2810
- **Santa Clara County Supervisors**
70 West Hedding Street, 10th Floor
San Jose, CA 95110
- District 1 – Mike Wasserman – 408-299-5010
Email: mike.wasserman@bos.sccgov.org
- District 2 – Cindy Chavez – 408-299-5020
Email: cindy.chavez@bos.sccgov.org
- District 3 – Dave Cortese – 408-299-5030
Email: dave.cortese@bos.sccgov.org
- District 4 – Susan Ellenberg – 408-299-5040
Email: supervisor.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org
- District 5 – Joe Simitian – 408-299-5050
Email: supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org

REPUBLICAN RESOURCES

- **West Valley Republican Women Federated:**
www.westvalleyrwf.org
- **Santa Clara County Republican Party:**
408-246-6600 Phone 408-246-1443 Fax
www.svgor.com
- **California Republican Party:**
818-841-5210 Phone 818-841-6668 Fax
www.cagop.org
- **California Federation of Republican Women (CFRW):**
www.cfrw.org
Advocacy Office Phone: 916-442-4084
E-mail: advocate@cfrw.org
- **CFRW Northern Division: (CFRW-ND):** www.cfrwnd.org
- **National Federation of Republican Women (NFRW):** www.nfrw.org
- **Republican National Committee:** 202-863-8500
310 First Street SE, Washington, DC 20003
<https://www.gop.com>



KAY ESHLEMAN – FEBRUARY 13

*Many birthdays are missing from our records.
Please contact us if yours is one of them!
Send your birth month & day (not year) to
bunny328@reagan.com or call 408-483-2311.*

Attention Members, Committee Chairs and Board Members:

YOUR CONTRIBUTIONS NEEDED!

Please write or share articles for our newsletter. Information relevant to our membership can include updates on Club or Committee activities, or referrals to articles on CA or National politics. Our newsletter will be much more relevant, informative and interesting with your input! Email your input to our Editor, Bunny Hacker, at bunny328@reagan.com by the last Friday of the month for inclusion in the next month's issue!

WEST VALLEY**REPUBLICAN WOMEN FEDERATED**

c/o Bunny Hacker
55 Church St., #1301
Los Gatos, CA 95030-6922

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

**MARK YOUR CALENDAR!**

Don't sit on the sidelines...get involved!

- | | |
|----------------|--|
| February 3 | Super Bowl LIII – New England Patriots vs Los Angeles Rams |
| February 12 | Board Meeting 10:00am at home of President Mayme Abbott |
| February 14 | Valentine's Day |
| February 18 | Presidents' Day |
| February 21 | West Valley RWF lunch meeting featuring Guest Speaker, Deborah La Fetra from Pacific Legal Foundation! |
| February 22-24 | California GOP Spring Organizing Convention Hyatt Regency Sacramento – Details at cagop.org/convention |
| March 8-10 | CFRW WINTER CONFERENCE Sacramento Marriot in Rancho Cordova – See cfrw.org for details! |
| March 12 | Board Meeting 10:00am at home of President Mayme Abbott |

Click here to visit our website: [West Valley RWF](http://WestValleyRWF.com)

Click here to visit us on Facebook: [West Valley RWF-Facebook](#)

The West Valley Republican Women Federated Club is an affiliate club of the California Federation of Republican Women and is governed in compliance with the bylaws of the State and National Federations of Republican Women.



**Informed ~
Involved ~
Impactful!**

